

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,180	09/18/2000	Robert Ellis Chapman JR.	YOR920000633US1	5786
7590 09/18/2003		and the second		
Louis J Percello Intellectual Property LAw Dept IBM Corporation		EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, HUY D	
P O Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 010	, 1.1 10050	•	2681	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/664,180	CHAPMAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Huy D Nguyen	2681		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she	t with the correspondence address		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	<u>une 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under the				
·	on of Claims				
•	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement			
9) 🗌 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
11) 🔲 🗅	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment	t(s)				
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/664,180

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see paper No. 5, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bradshaw, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,820).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bradshaw, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,820).

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 8, Davison et al. disclose a method and apparatus for controlling multi-party conference calls includes circuitry which is operable according to a new conference call protocol. Figure 1 shows a system diagram of a wireless communication network illustrating one aspect of the present invention. A controlling party (Cg Pty) is in communication with a first subject party (CP1), a second subject party (CP2) and a third subject party (CP3). The controlling party is communicating through mobile station 102 while the first, the second and the

Art Unit: 2681

third subject parties are communicating through mobile stations 104, 106 and 108, respectively. The controlling party and the three subject parties are in a four-way conference call. As seen in FIG. 1, a plurality of virtual communication paths 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 illustrates that each mobile station is in communication with the other mobile station in a conference call [col. 3, lines 49-62].

Regarding claims 2, 6-7, 9, Davison et al. disclose that a method and an apparatus are provided that allows a controlling party to add an unlimited number of subject parties, that allows the controlling party to selectively enter into a private conversation with anyone of the subject parties regardless of the call placement order [col. 3, lines 43-47].

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on 703-305-4778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-6750.

ir~)

SINH TRAN BRIMARY EXAMINER